

OUTLINE

- ES6 Solution Sketch
- Unit Hydrographs/HMS Workshop
 - CMM pp. 201-223
- HMS Workshop

ES-6 SOLUTION SKETCH

ES 6 was application of two different evaporation models

- 1. Estimate the monthly evapotranspiration depths for the San Angelo (Concho County) area using the Blaney-Criddle method.¹
 - The Blaney-Criddle method uses location (latitude) and mean monthly temperatures in Celsius
 - Google search <Latitude and Longitude for San Angelo, Texas>



ES-6 SOLUTION SKETCH

- The Blaney-Criddle method uses location (latitude) and mean monthly temperatures in Celsius
 - Google search < Mean Monthly Temperature for San Angelo, Texas>

| Home | United Sta | tes Tex | Need in Celsius, So either convert or | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|--|------|------|------|---------|---|
| Monthly | History | History Geo & Map | | | | ast | | |
| Climate San | Angelo - | Texas | | | | | °C + °F | |
| | | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | |
| Average high | in °F: | 60 | 64 | 71 | 80 | 87 | 92 | |
| Average low i | in °F: | 33 | 37 | 44 | 52 | 62 | 69 | |
| Av. precipitat | ion in inch: | 0.94 | 1.34 | 1.5 | 1.42 | 2.83 | 2.6 | |
| Days with pre | ecipitation: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Hours of suns | shine: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Average snov | wfall in inch | : 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| Average high | in °F: | 95 | 95 | 88 | 79 | 68 | 60 | |
| Average low i | in °F: | 71 | 71 | 64 | 54 | 42 | 34 | |
| Av. precipitat | ion in inch: | 1.18 | 2.24 | 2.44 | 2.72 | 1.14 | 0.87 | 4 |
| Days with pre | ecipitation: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Hours of suns | shine: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |

ES-6 SOLUTION SKETCH

Calibri (Body) = 12 = = I U = = = $|\Delta a|$ = |A| |A| |A|

Put these results into Blaney-Criddle equation

| Edit F | | | | Alignment | Numb | er | Format | | |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------------|----------|--|
| Calibri (Body) | | | • 12 • | , ≣. | General | • | | - | |
| Pa | B | ΙU | <u> </u> | Align | S • 9 | o Co | nditional Sty | les | |
| | 125 | + 🛞 | 💿 (• f: | e | | | | - | |
| | А | B | С | D | E | F | G | Н | |
| 1 | Blaney-Crido | lle ET Estimato | or | | | | | | |
| 2 | North Latitu | de | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Latitude | 30 | <=Degrees La | atitude (0-60, | increments o | f 5) | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Month | T_mean | _mean p-Value | | T-high | | T-low | | |
| 7 | Jan | 8 | 0.24 | 2.8032 | | 15.3 | | | |
| 8 | Feb | 10.15 | 0.25 | 3.16725 | 17.5 | | 2.8 | | |
| 9 | Mar | 14.3 | 0.27 | 3.93606 | | 21.7 | 6.9 | | |
| 10 | Apr | 18.9 | 0.29 | 4.84126 | | 26.7 | 11.1 | | |
| 11 | May | 23.65 | 0.31 | 5.85249 | | 30.7 | 16.6 | | |
| 12 | Jun | 26.9 | 0.32 | 6.51968 | | 33.4 | 20.4 | | |
| 13 | Jul | 28.45 | 0.31 | 6.53697 | | 35.1 | 21.8 | | |
| 14 | Aug | 28.15 | 0.3 | 6.2847 | | 34.8 | 21.5 | | |
| 15 | Sep | 24.25 | 0.28 | 5.3634 | | 31 | 17.5 | | |
| 16 | Oct | 19 | 0.26 | 4.3524 | | 26 | 12 | | |
| 17 | Nov | 12.95 | 0.24 | 3.34968 | | 20.2 | 5.7 | | |
| 18 | Dec | 8.2 | 0.23 | 2.70756 | | 15.5 | 0.9 | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| - | ► ►I | lorth-Latitud | e South-La | atitude 🖌 p-f | actor + | | | 11. | |

5

ES-6 SOLUTION SKETCH

ES 6 was application of two different evaporation models

- 2. Estimate the monthly evapotranspiration depths for the San Angelo (Concho County) area using the Thornwaithe method.²
 - The Thornwaithe method uses location (latitude) and mean monthly temperatures in Celsius
 - Google search <Latitude and Longitude for San Angelo, Texas>



ES-6 SOLUTION SKETCH

- The Thornwaithe method uses location (latitude) and mean monthly temperatures in Celsius
 - Google search < Mean Monthly Temperature for San Angelo, Texas>

| Home | United Stat | ies Tex | So either convert or select Celsius | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------|--------|----------|---------|---|
| Monthly | Daily | History | Geo & | Мар | Weathe | er Forec | ast | |
| Climate San | Angelo - 1 | Гехаз | | | | | °C • °F | |
| | | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | |
| Average high | in °F: | 60 | 64 | 71 | 80 | 87 | 92 | |
| Average low i | n °F: | 33 | 37 | 44 | 52 | 62 | 69 | |
| Av. precipitat | ion in inch: | 0.94 | 1.34 | 1.5 | 1.42 | 2.83 | 2.6 | |
| Days with pre | cipitation: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Hours of suns | shine: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Average snow | vfall in inch | : 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | |
| Average high | in °F: | 95 | 95 | 88 | 79 | 68 | 60 < | |
| Average low i | n °F: | 71 | 71 | 64 | 54 | 42 | 34 🗲 | |
| Av. precipitat | ion in inch: | 1.18 | 2.24 | 2.44 | 2.72 | 1.14 | 0.87 | 7 |
| Days with pre | cipitation: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Hours of suns | shine: | - | - | - | - | - | - | |

| • (| | | | | Thor | nwaithe. | xls | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| • | 🛅 🔯 🗖 🗛 i V ra 🕐 | P. 62 | · · · · · | M_ 🔽 . | A | 7 .16 | C. A. | - I I. | 0- | Grant | i- 011 | | | \supset | \gg |
| Arial | ES-6 SOL | .UT | ION | SKE | TCH | ł | | | | | | | | | |
| | Home Layout Tables | Cha | rts Sm | artArt | Formula | is Da | ata F | Review | Devel | oper | | | | ~ * | ŧ |
| Ed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ar Put these resi | uits I | | ornwai | the m | ietnoo | | | | | | | | | |
| | R I U A A | | | | Mor | | 2 🗸 0/2 | | anditional | | | | 4.27 | | |
| Pas | | | | | Inter(| | 3 70 | F | ormatting | Styles | Actions | Themes | , Ad | | |
| | 020 🕴 🙁 📀 (🖢) | fx | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ŧ |
| | A | BC | D | E | F | G | Н | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 |) |
| <u> </u> | Thornwaithe Method Worksheet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (1) Enter mean monthly air temperature in | data field | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | (2) Enter nearest latitude of study area (se | e data tab | e to see latitu | des available) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Required Data | | January | March | April | May | June | ylul | August | September | October | November | December | | |
| 6 | Mean Monthly Air Temperature (°C) | | 8 10.1 | 5 14.3 | 18.9 | 23.65 | 26.9 | 28.45 | 28.15 | 24.25 | 19 | 12.95 | 8.2 | | |
| 7 | Mean Monthly Air Temperature (°C) Station Latitude (°North) | | 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Computed Values | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Monthly Thermal Index (i) | 2.03 | 722 2.921 | 2 4.90838 | 7.48725 | 10.5133 | 12.7763 | 13.9072 | 1 3.6 858 | 10.9198 | 7.54731 | 4.22412 | 2.11482 | | |
| 10 | Monthly Correction Coefficient ($F(\lambda)$) | | 0.9 0.8 | 1.03 | 1.08 | 1.18 | 1.17 | 1.2 | 1.14 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.89 | 0.88 | | |
| 11 | Annual Thermal Index (I) | 93.0 | 426 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Exponent (a) | | 2.03 6.75E-0 | 7.71E-05 | 1.79E-02 | 0.49239 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Monthly Potential ET (mm) | | 10.6 16 | .6 39.5 | 73.0 | 125.9 | 162.2 | 1 86 .5 | 173.4 | 115.7 | 67.0 | 27.9 | 10.9 | | |
| 15 | | Latitude North | January | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | | |
| 10 | | 50 | 0.74 0.7 | 8 1.02 | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.36 | 1.37 | 1.25 | 1.06 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.7 | | |
| 17 | | 49 | 0.75 0.7 0.76 0 | 8 1.02 | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.24 | 1.05 | 0.93 | 0.76 | 0.71 | | |
| 13 | | 47 | 0.77 0 | .8 1.02 | 1.14 | 1.3 | 1.32 | 1.34 | 1.23 | 1.03 | 0.93 | 0.78 | 0.72 | | |
| 20 | | 46 | 0.79 0.8 | 1 1.02 | 1.13 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.32 | 1.22 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 0.79 | 0.74 | | |
| 21 | | 45 | 8.0 8.0 | 1.02 | 1.13 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.21 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 0.79 | 0.75 | | |
| 22 | | 44 | 0.81 0.8 | 1.02 | 1.13 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 0.8 | 0.76 | | |
| 23 | | 43 | 0.81 0.8 | 2 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.26 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.2 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 0.81 | 0.77 | | |
| 24 | | 42 | 0.82 0.8 | 3 1.03 | 1.12 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.28 | 1.19 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 8 | |
| 20 | | 40 | 0.84 0.8 | 1.03 1.03 | 1.11 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 1.19 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 0.82 | 0.81 | | |
| 14 4 | F(I) ThornwaitheMethod | ambda) | + | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | | 11 |

ES6 SOLUTION SKETCH

Blaney-Criddle Results:

The results indicate a high value of about 1/4 inch/day during the summer months, and about 1/10 inch per day in the winter months.

Thornwaithe Results:

The results indicate a daily rate of about 1/4 inch/day per day in the summer months and about 0.01 inches per day in the winter months.

Is evaporation for a 24-hour storm?

3. How important are these estimates in the drainage analysis project for a storm lasting 24-48 hours? Probably not terribly important for rainfall rates in excess of 1 inches per hour.



WHAT IS A UNIT HYDROGRAPH?

Streamflow from Rainfall by Unit-Graph Method

Observed runoff following isolated one-day rainfall forms basis of computation-Method applicable to rainfalls of any intensity or duration

By L. K. Sherman Consulting Engineer, Randolph-Perkins Co., Chicago, Ill.

Y MAKING USE of a single obfall of any duration or degree of intensity.) From the known hydrograph the "unit" graph must be determined, vepresenting 1 in. of runoff from a 24-hour rainfall. The daily ordinates of accordance with the variation in daily precipitation figures so as to show the runoff from a storm of any length.

Following a storm, the hydrograph representing the flow in the mainstream channel shows the runoff increasing to a maximum point and then tubsiding to the value it had before structed for a particular area it may the storm. For a single storm the be used to compute a hydrograph of graph is generally of a triangular shape, runoff for this area for any individual with the falling stage taking never less storm or sequence of storms of any and usually two or more times as long duration or intensity over any period as the rising stage. For the same drain- of time. The principle to use in applyage area, however, there is a definite ing the unit graph is to follow the sumtotal flood period corresponding to a mation process of nature. For example given rainfall, and all one-day rainfalls. consider a case where the unit graph

or 2 in., and the observed graph represents a 2-in. runoff applied in 24 hours. The unit graph for this area, then, is one having the same base but ordinates B served hydrograph, one due to a one-haif as great as those on thates one-haif as great as those on the observed graph. This is the procedure for to compute for the same watershed the determining a unit graph for any drainrunoff history corresponding to a rain- age area. The graph is a constant for any particular drainage arca, but drainage areas of different physical characteristics give radically different forms. A topography with steep slopes and few pondage pockets gives a graph with the unit graph can then be combined in a high sharp peak and a short time period. A flat country with large pod-age pockets gives a graph with a flat rounded peak and a long time period.

Application of unit graph

After a unit graph has been con-

OPQ. A continued rain with the same daily depth of runoff produces succes-sively the additional dotted graphs. At the end of the fifth day of such continuous rain, with uniform depths of runoff for each day, the runoff graph ORS will be formed. The peak at R will be

.

•



Fig. 1-Simple hydrograph of runoff from a continuous uniform rain, when the uni graph is triangular.



Fig. 2-At Plumfield III on the Big Muddy River, there was a fairly well-isolated rain of 1.42 in. on April 9, 1924, yielding a hydrograph with ordinates proportional to those of the unit graph.

- Used to explain the time re-distribution of excess precipitation on a watershed
- **Represents the response of the watershed at** the outlet to a unit depth of EXCESS precipitation
 - EXCESS implies some kind of loss model is applied to the raw precipitation
 - Time re-distribution implies some kind of transfer behavior is applied
 - L. K. Sherman 1932 is credited with seminal publication of the concept
 - Read the document in AdditionalReadings

RESPONSE MODEL

Response models convert the excess precipitation signal into a direct runoff hydrograph at the point of interest





PURPOSE

Illustrate the steps to create a functioning precipitation-runoff model in HEC-HMS

- Only a small set of HEC-HMS capabilities are employed
 - Basin Model
 - Sub-Basin: IaCl Loss Model; DUH Transform Model
 - Meterological Model
 - Control Specifications
 - Time-Series: Rain Gage
 - Time-Series: Discharge Gage
- Realistic parameter values are employed from class references

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Familiarize students with the HEC-HMS Graphical User Interface.

Reinforce the concepts of "Projects" as a data-storage paradigm.

Simulate the rainfall-runoff response of a single sub-basin Texas watershed using:

- Initial loss and constant rate loss model
- SCS Unit Hydrograph transformation model
- User-specified hyetograph.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Simulate the response of the Ash Creek watershed at Highland Road for a 5-year, 3hour storm, under current development conditions.

Treat the entire watershed as a single sub-basin.

PROBLEM STATEMENT



Watershed Outlet

- Highland Road and Ash Creek, Dallas, TX.
- Area is residential subdivisions, light industrial parks, and some open parkland.
- White Rock Lake is water body to the North-West

PRECIPITATION ESTIMATION

Precipitation

Estimate 5-year, 3-hour storm depth using the DDF Atlas



In cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation

Atlas of Depth-Duration Frequency of Precipitation Annual Maxima for Texas



Scientific Investigations Report 2004–5041 (TxDOT Implementation Report 5–1301–01–1)

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

PRECIPITATION ESTIMATION



In cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation

Empirical, Dimensionless, Cumulative-Rainfall Hyetographs Developed From 1959–86 Storm Data for Selected Small Watersheds in Texas



Scientific Investigations Report 2004–5075 (TxDOT Research Report 0–4194–3)

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey Precipitation

 Approximate the storm temporal distribution using dimensionless hyetograph.

LOSS MODEL ESTIMATION

Runoff Generation (Loss)

 Estimate the initial loss and constant rate loss using TxDOT 0-4193-7



In cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation

An Initial-Abstraction, Constant-Loss Model for Unit Hydrograph Modeling for Applicable Watersheds in Texas





Scientific Investigations Report 2007–5243 (Texas Department of Transportation Research Report 0–4193–7)

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

TRANSFORMATION MODEL ESTIMATION

Unit Hydrograph Timing Parameters

 Example will use the SCS DUH, but will parameterize assuming GUHAS regression is appropriate.



U.S. Geological Survey; Texas Tech University, Center for Multidisciplinary Research in Transportation; University of Houston; Lamar University



UNIT HYDROGRAPH ESTIMATION FOR APPLICABLE TEXAS WATERSHEDS

Research Report 0-4193-4



Texas Department of Transportation Research Project 0-4193





PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Watershed Properties

- AREA=6.92 mi2
- MCL=5.416 mi
- MCS=0.005595
- CN=86
- R=0



712000.00 714000.00 716000.00 718000.00 720000.00 722000.00

BUILDING THE MODEL – DATA PREPARATION

HEC-HMS will require us to construct, external to HMS the following:

- A Hyetograph (rainfall)
- Loss model parameters
- Transform model parameters

In this example will use Excel to build some input data required by the program.

RAINFALL DEPTH



Figure 20. Depth of precipitation for 5-year storm for 3-hour duration in Texas.

Figure 20 27

| 00 | | | TXHYETO | -2015-PreRelea | ise.xlsx | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|------|
| • | | X B 🖻 | In 🖉 | • 🔂 • 🔍 • | Search in S | heet | |
| FRATE | Г Л НУ | FTOG | RΛ | DH | IISF | ТХН | VF |
| | | | | E E PAP | SOJL | | |
| • | Home Layout | Tables | Charts | SmartArt | Formulas | Data | >> ~ |
| | B17 🛟 | 🕃 💿 (= fx | | | | | |
| | A B | C | D | E F | G | H | 1 |
| | | | TXHYE | IO-2015 Pre-Rel | ease | | |
| | _ | •• | - | | | | |
| 2 | Те | xas Hyeto | graphs | s for 50-th | Percenti | le Storm | |
| 3 | | | (Rev | vised: July 30, 2015 | 5) | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 1. Enter a | Storm Duratio | 1 | 50TH PER | CENTILE (2-YE | EAR) HYETOGRAP | н |
| 6 | (from DDF Atla | s, TP40, or equivalent |) | Time (min) | Time (hrs) | Depth (in) | |
| 7 | 3 | hours | | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | |
| 8 | | | | 5 | 0.08 | 0.176 | |
| 9 | 2. Enter | a Storm Depth | | 10 | 0.17 | 0.446 | |
| 10 | (from TxDOT Hydraulic De | sign Manual, EBDLKU | P-NEW.xlsx, | 15 | 0.25 | 0.660 | |
| 11 | DDF Atlas, 1 | P40, or equivalent) | | 20 | 0.33 | 0.835 | |
| 12 | 2.8 | inches | | 25 | 0.42 | 0.980 | |
| 13 | | | | 30 | 0.50 | 1.100 | |
| 14 | 3. Enter a des | ired Time Inter | val | 35 | 0.58 | 1.200 | |
| 15 | (recommend intervals pe | fectly divisible by storr | n duration) | 40 | 0.67 | 1.282 | |
| 16 | 5 | minutes | | 45 | 0.75 | 1.351 | |
| 17 | | | | 50 | 0.83 | 1.409 | |
| 18 | | | | 55 | 0.92 | 1.459 | |
| 19 | Mixture Mo | del Parameters (5 | Oth) | 60 | 1.00 | 1.503 | |
| 20 | W1 | 1.038977 | | 65 | 1.08 | 1.543 | |
| 21 | a | 0.795463 | | 70 | 1.17 | 1.581 | |
| 22 | b | 3.485892 | | /5 | 1.25 | 1.620 | |
| 23 | W2 | 0.471974 | | 80 | 1.33 | 1.659 | |
| 24 | | 0.471874 | | <u>ده</u> | 1.42 | 1.701 | |
| 25 | 5 | 0.203331 | | 90 | 1.50 | 1.747 | |
| 27 | | | | 100 | 1.50 | 1 848 | |
| 28 | | | | 105 | 1.07 | 1 905 | |
| 29 | | | | 110 | 1.83 | 1.964 | |
| 30 | | | | 115 | 1.92 | 2.027 | |
| 31 | | | | 120 | 2.00 | 2.091 | |
| 32 | | | | 125 | 2.08 | 2.157 | |
| 33 | | | | 130 | 2.17 | 2.222 | |
| 34 | | | | 135 | 2.25 | 2.287 | |
| 35 | | | | 140 | 2.33 | 2.351 | |
| | | | | | | | |

DATA PREPARATION

HEC-HMS will require us to construct, external to HMS the following:

- A Hyetograph (rainfall)
- Loss model parameters
- Transform model parameters

In this example will use Excel to build some input data required by the program.

LOSS MODEL PARAMETERS

 $I_a C_l$ model in TxDOT 0-4193-7

Estimation of Initial Abstraction

The regression equation ¹⁵ for estimation of I_A has $\varphi^{[I_A]} = -0.9041$ and is

$$I_A = 2.045 - 0.5497L^{-0.9041} - 0.1943D$$

+ 0.2414R - 0.01354CN, (23)

where I_A is initial abstraction in watershed inches, L is main-channel length of the watershed in miles, D = 0for undeveloped watersheds and D = 1 for developed watersheds, R = 0 for non-rocky watersheds and R = 1for rocky watersheds, and CN is the curve number.

LOSS MODEL PARAMETERS I_aC_1 model in TxDOT 0-4193-7

| N 1 | 🛚 Microsoft Excel - Dimensionless2Dimen 🔳 🗖 🔀 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|------------|-----------|---------|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 환 File Edit View Insert Format Tools Data Window Help _ 문 × | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 🗋 🚔 🔚 🎒 🖙 🛛 🛍 100% 🔹 🕄 🛛 🐥 B 🐥 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | H9 🕶 🏂 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | В | С | D | E | F | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Loss M | odel Parameter | Es | timation | | | _ | | | | | | |
| 2 | Initial Abstraction | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Input Va | alues | Regression | Coefficie | nts | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | 2.045 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 5.416 | <=MCL (Miles) | | -0.5497 | -0.9041 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1 | <=D (0 or 1) | | -0.1943 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0 | <=R (0 or 1) | | 0.2414 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 86 | <= CN | | -0.01354 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | la | 0.567 | in | ches | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 4 4 | ► N | Sheet1 Sheet | t2, | (si 🖣 | | ► | Ē | | | | | | |
| Dra | w• 🗟 | AutoShapes 🔹 🚿 | | | a 🖊 👔 | | » • | | | | | | |
| R | | | | NUM | | | | | | | | | |

LOSS MODEL PARAMETERS

I_aC_I model in TxDOT 0-4193-7

Estimation of Constant Loss

The regression equation¹⁶ for estimation of C_L has $\varphi^{[C_L]} = 0.2312$ and is

$$C_L = 2.535 - 0.4820L^{0.2312} + 0.2271R - 0.01676CN,$$
(29)

where C_L is constant loss in watershed inches per hour, L is main-channel length of the watershed in miles, R = 0 for non-rocky watersheds and R = 1 for rocky watersheds, and CN is curve number. The equation has

LOSS MODEL PARAMETERS

$I_a C_l$ model in TxDOT 0-4193-7

| N 1 | licrosof | it Excel - Dimer | isio | nless 2Dim | en 💶 | | × | | 🔀 N | licrosof | it Excel | - Dimer | nsio | nless 2Dime | en 📃 | |
|--|---|-------------------------|------|------------|---------|----|--------|--------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|------|
| 8 | 된 File Edit View Insert Format Tools Data | | | | | | | | | <u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> i | dit <u>V</u> iev | w <u>I</u> nser | t | Format <u>T</u> oo | ols <u>D</u> ata | |
| | | | | | | | | | Wi | ndow <u>F</u> | <u>t</u> elp | | | | | - 6 |
| D | 🛩 目 | 🖨 🗠 - 🛍 | l, | 100% 👻 [|) | B | ۱,» | | D | 🗃 📄 | 3 | n - 🚺 |) , : | 100% 🝷 🤶 |) » | |
| | H9 | ▼ fs | e l | | | | | | | H9 | - | f: | ř. | | | |
| | Α | В | С | D | E | F | | | | A | | В | С | D | E | F |
| 1 | Loss Model Parameter Estimation | | | | | | | | 10 | Consta | nt Loss | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | 11 | Input V | alues | | | Regression | Coefficie | ents |
| 3 | Input Values Regression Coefficients | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | 2.535 | | | |
| 4 | | | | 2.045 | | | | | 13 | 5.416 | <=MCL | _ (Miles) | | -0.482 | 0.2312 | 2 |
| 5 | 5.416 | <=MCL (Miles) | | -0.5497 | -0.9041 | | _ | | 14 | 1 | <=D (0 | or 1) | | 0 | | |
| 6 | 1 | <=D (0 or 1) | | -0.1943 | | | _ | | 15 | 0 | <=R (0 | or 1) | | 0.2271 | | |
| 7 | 0 | <=R (0 or 1) | | 0.2414 | | | _ | | 16 | 86 | <= CN | | | -0.01676 | | |
| 8 | 86 | <= CN | | -0.01354 | | | _ | | 17 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | la 0.567 inches | | | | | | 18 | CI | | 0.381 | in | ches/hour | | | | |
| I I Committee I Sheet1 Sheet2 / SI I I I | | | | | | 10 | ► H\ | Sheet1 | λ Shee | t2, | (SI 🖡 👘 | | ₽ | | | |
| Dra | w• 🗟 | A <u>u</u> toShapes 👻 🚿 | | | 🖴 📣 (| | » • | | Dra | w• 🗟 | A <u>u</u> toSh | apes 🔹 🚿 | | | 🗃 📣 🛛 | 0 |
| R | | | | NUM | | | | | R | | | | | NUM | | |

× Ð

> » B

> > »

DATA PREPARATION

HEC-HMS will require us to construct, external to HMS the following:

- A Hyetograph (rainfall)
- Loss model parameters
- Transform model parameters

In this example will use Excel to build some input data required by the program.

SCS Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph

- Related to a gamma distribution with shape K=3.77
- HEC-HMS requires a time constant, T_lag

For this example, will assume 0-4193-4 method is sufficient

Estimate Tp

$$T_p = 10^{(-1.41 - 0.313D)} L^{0.612} S^{-0.633},$$



Estimate K

$$K = 10^{(0.481 - 0.0782D)} L^{0.140}$$

Microsoft Excel - Dimensionless2Dimensi... 💐 Eile Edit View Insert Format Tools Data Window _ 8 × <u>H</u>elp » • 🗅 📂 🔚 🎒 🛍 100% 👻 🕐 » 10 v | ÷ 07 fx A В С D Е F * Hydrograph Shape 9 Input Values Regression Coefficients 10 11 0 12 5.416 <= MCL (Miles) 1.2668 0.14 13 1 <= D (0 or 1) 2.5281 14 1 15 1 16 3.203 17 K (Î M / IaCl / Tp-K / 🛛 AutoShapes 🔻 🔪 🔌 🗔 🔿 🔠 🐗 🞲 🧉 » • Draw 🔻 1 Reac NUM

SCS Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph

Related to a gamma distribution with shape K=3.77

For this example

- Assume that K=3.77 is close enough in shape to K=3.2 to use without modification.
- A later example will illustrate how to employ a user-specified hydrograph.
- Basin lag time is 0.6*80min = 48 min

(e) Relation between lag and time of concentration

Various researchers (Mockus 1957; Simas 1996) found that for average natural watershed conditions and an approximately uniform distribution of runoff:

$$L = 0.6T_c$$
 (eq. 15–3)

where:

L = lag, h $T_c = time of concentration, h$

DATA PREPARATION

HEC-HMS will require us to construct, external to HMS the following:

- A Hyetograph (rainfall)
- Loss model parameters
- Transform model parameters

Now ready to build the HEC-HMS model.

HEC-HMS

Start the program

Create a project

- Project is a directory where all data are stored for a particular model.
- Can share files between projects, but an advanced technique.
HEC-HMS

Start the program

| 🗷 HEC-HMS 3.5 | |
|---|--|
| File Edit View Components Parameters Compute Results Tools Help | |
| │ □ ☞ ■ ● │ ▼ ◆ ♀ ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ■ ● ● ● ● ● ● | |
| | |
| [\] Choose "File" and "New" to create a new project | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

CREATE PROJECT



CREATE PROJECT



| 🖉 HEC-HMS 👝 [C:\\My_ocuments\Example_1\Example_1.hm | s] 🖉 🗖 | \mathbf{X} |
|--|---|--------------|
| <u>File Edit V</u> w Components Prameters Compute <u>R</u> esults <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp | | |
| 🗋 😂 🔜 💽 🕴 🤍 🌢 🖦 🗎 🍝 🌩 🗮 🏭 | | |
| Example-1 | | |
| | Choose "components" and will begin our modeling | |
| | | |
| Components Compute Results | | |
| Project | | |
| Name: Example 1 | | |
| Description: DE5606 Example Problem Number 1 | | |
| DSS File: C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\My Documents\E: 🗃 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | NOTE 10008: Finished opening project "Example 1" in directory "C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\My Documents\Example_1" at time 28Jun2011, 00:57:00. | |
| | | |
| | | |



🚞 DES606-Training

Microsoft PowerPoint ...

🛃 start

🚞 DES606-Training

| Kernel Contemporary Contempor | 🔳 🖬 🔀 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| File Edit View Components Parameters Compute Results Tools Help | | | | | | |
| □ ☞ ■ ● ▼ ◆ ◇ ♪ ↦ ■ ● ● ● ■ ● ■ ● ■ ● | | | | | | |
| Example-1 Components Compute Results Project Name: Example 1 Description: [E5506 Example Problem Number 1 D55 File: c1/Documents and Settings/Administrator/My Documents/E Discription : [Ash Creek Single Basin Model Create A New Basin Model Nome : [Basin 1 Description : [Ash Creek Single Basin Model Create Create Single Basin Model Create Create Computer Create Create Computer Create Computer Create Create Create Computer Create Create Computer Create Computer Create Create Create Create Create Computer Create | e "New" Name the Basin Description | | | | | |
| NOTE 10008: Hinished opening project "Example 1" in directory "C:\Documents and Settings\Administra | ratorymy DocumentsyExample_1° at time 28Jun2011, 00:57:00. | | | | | |

🛃 Adobe Acrobat Profe...

Microsoft Excel - Dim...

Microsoft PowerPoint ...

🗮 HEC-HMS 3.5 [C:\...\...

🔇 🔎 1:05 AM





🚞 DES606-Training



BASIN MODEL DATA INPUT



BASIN MODEL DATA INPUT



BASIN MODEL DATA INPUT



























| Pre teor Unipotential Computer Parallel to the Period Formation of the Period State | HEC-HMS 3.5 [C:\Wy Documents\Example_1\Example_1.hms |] | _ 7 × |
|--|---|---|-------|
| Longible 1 Implementation Models Implementatin Models Implementat | Pile Eait View Components Parameters Compute Results Tools Help | ** | |
| Components Compute Results Subbasins 1) Subbasins This action links the gage name, Time-series, and the basin models. Subbasin Neme Gage SingleBasin OneAndOnlyGage | Example-1 Second Seco | Basin Model [Ash Creek Basin] | |
| | Components Compute Results 1) Select "On This action Subbasins Time-sen Subbasin Name Gage SingleBasin OneAndOnlyGage | neAndOnlyGage" on links the gage name, ies, and the basin models. | |
| | | | |

CREATE CONTROL SPECIFICATIONS



CREATE CONTROL SPECIFICATIONS



CREATE CONTROL SPECIFICATIONS













RUN SIMULATION



RUN SIMULATION



RUN SIMULATION



VIEW RESULTS


ADD OBSERVATIONS

Intentional input basin lag as 80 minutes

Use a historical storm and see how well (or poorly) we did

Use Time-Series-Manager to add these new gages Use Control-Specifications to build control conditions for this different run.

NEXT TIME

- ES7 Solution Sketch
- Unit Hydrographs -- HMS Workshop
 - Multiple sub-basins